

Available online at www.HEFJournal.org

Journal of Human, Earth, and Future

Vol. 3, No. 2, June, 2022



Alternative Fuel: Hydrogen and its Thermodynamic Behaviour

R. Balasubramanian ^{1*}^o, A. Abishek ¹, S. Gobinath ¹, K. Jaivignesh ¹

¹ Department of Physics, Arignar Anna Government Arts College, Namakkal 637 002, Tamilnadu, India

Received 31 March 2022; Revised 02 May 2022; Accepted 22 May 2022; Published 01 June 2022

Abstract

Hydrogen is a contender for alternative energy. Hydrogen fuel cell vehicles and hydrogen-based low-carbon fuels will contribute to the decarburization of the mobility sector, shipping and aviation. Hydrogen is used as a rocket fuel. In addition, petroleum refining, semiconductor manufacturing, aerospace industry, fertilizer production, metal treatment, pharmaceutical, power plant generator, methanol production, commercial fixation of nitrogen from air reduction of metallic ores. Also, hydrogen is used to turn unsaturated fats into saturated fats and oils. In the enhancement of NMR and MRI signals, parahydrogen is used. Parahydrogen and orthohydrogen are nuclear spin isomers of hydrogen. At room temperature, the normal hydrogen at thermal equilibrium consists of 75% orthohydrogen and 25% parahydrogen. The development of hydrogen technology requires knowledge of the thermophysical properties of hydrogen. The second virial coefficient characterizes the primary interaction between the molecules. Therefore, knowledge of the second virial coefficient enables one to determine the pairwise molecular interaction and, in turn, the thermodynamic behaviour of hydrogen. The present study is based on three parameter modified Berthelot Equation of state aims to determine the second virial coefficient of hydrogen and its isomers, i.e., orthohydrogen and parahydrogen, over a wide range of temperatures, from the boiling point to the Boyle point. The obtained results are compared with those of the van der Waals Equation of state, Berthelot Equation of state, Tsonopoulos correlation, McGlashan & Potter correlation, Yuan Duan correlation, Van Ness & Abbott correlation, and McGlashan correlation. The results of this work agree well with those of other correlations in the high temperature region.

Keywords: Hydrogen; Isomers; Law of Corresponding States; Orthohydrogen; Parahydrogen; Second Virial Coefficient.

1. Introduction

Petroleum refining, semiconductor manufacturing, aerospace industry, fertilizer production, metal treatment, pharmaceutical, power plant generator, methanol production, commercial fixation of nitrogen from air reduction of metallic ores use hydrogen. Hydrogen is a contender for alternative energy. Hydrogen fuel cell vehicles and hydrogen-based low-carbon fuels will contribute to the decarbonization of the mobility sector, shipping and aviation. Hydrogen is used as a rocket fuel, Hydrogen is used to turn unsaturated fats into saturated fats and oils. In NMR and MRI signal enhancement, parahydrogen is employed. The development of hydrogen technology requires knowledge of the thermophysical properties of hydrogen.

Parahydrogen and orthohydrogen are nuclear spin isomers of hydrogen. The nuclear spins of orthohydrogen are parallel and those of parahydrogen are antiparallel. They are chemically identical and have the same atomic and isotopic structure. They differ in the nuclear spin states of their atoms. The energy difference associated with nuclear spin transitions of hydrogen is about $0.1 \ J.mol^{-1}$. However, this tiny change leads to different thermodynamic and spectroscopic properties of hydrogen molecules. The ortho and para hydrogens are characterized by different values of

^{*} Corresponding author: drrbala@yahoo.com

doi http://dx.doi.org/10.28991/HEF-2022-03-02-05

> This is an open access article under the CC-BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

[©] Authors retain all copyrights.

Journal of Human, Earth, and Future

specific heat, boiling point, and heat of vaporization. It follows from Pauli's principle that the nuclear spin state and rotational state of the hydrogen molecule are correlated. This is attributed to the fact that the molecules of these gases are rotating differently. Conversion between two nuclear spin states of a hydrogen molecule occurs extremely slowly as the transition between symmetric and antisymmetric nuclear spin states is forbidden by the selection rule of quantum mechanics. Hence, parahydrogen can be stored as an individual gas for longer periods. However, the use of paramagnetic catalysis promotes the establishment of Boltzmann's thermodynamic equilibrium between orthohydrogen and parahydrogen states for a given temperature at an accelerated rate. The paramagnetic materials create a strong inhomogeneous magnetic field at the atomic scale. In such fields, the two hydrogen is no longer forbidden. At room temperature, the normal hydrogen at thermal equilibrium consists of 75% orthohydrogen and 25% parahydrogen. Knowledge about orthohydrogen to parahydrogen conversion is important for the storage of liquid hydrogen. Due to the energy difference associated with different rotational levels, energy is released when orthohydrogen converts to parahydrogen and energy is absorbed in the reverse process.

The scientific and technical significance has led to numerous experimental and theoretical studies on the thermodynamic properties of hydrogen [1-14]. The effect of orthohydrogen and parahydrogen composition on the performance of a proton exchange membrane fuel cell has been calculated and experimentally studied [9]. An equation of state of orthohydrogen and parahydrogen has been derived [10]. The influence of orthohydrogen and parahydrogen conversion is considered to recommend the parameters for hydrogen storage [11]. The sound velocity in liquid parahydrogen, the dielectric constant of liquid parahydrogen along the saturation line, the surface tension of parahydrogen in the temperature range from triple point to critical point, and the density of liquid parahydrogen along the saturation line have been determined [12]. In contrast to bulk metals, the nanoparticles of copper, silver, and gold catalyze the low temperature orthohydrogen to parahydrogen conversion [14].

The present work, based on a modified Berthelot Equation of state, is aimed at determining the second virial coefficient of orthohydrogen and parahydrogen over a wide range of temperature from the boiling point to the Boyle point. The research methodology used in this work is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Flowchart of the research methodology

2. Generalized Berthelot Equation-of-State

Many modifications of the known equations of state have been proposed to improve their accuracy [15-22]. The known two – parameter Berthelot equation of state does not quantitatively describe the thermodynamic properties of liquids and gases [23, 24]. With an objective of improving the accuracy, a new parameter is introduced in the repulsive term of the Berthelot equation of state. The modified Berthelot equation of state for one mole of substance is

$$P = \frac{RT}{V-b+c} - \frac{a}{TV^2} \tag{1}$$

The vapour-liquid critical point condition are

$$\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_{T_c} = 0; \ \left(\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial V^2}\right)_{T_c} = 0 \tag{2}$$

where, T_c – Critical temperature.

Applying the conditions given by Equation 2 to Equation 1, the parameters a, b and c in Equation 1 may be determined through the critical - point parameters. Using the obtained expressions for the critical parameters, Equation 1 rewritten in the reduced form as:

$$P^* = \frac{8T^*}{3V^{*-1}} - \frac{3}{T^*V^{*2}} \tag{3}$$

where, $P^* = P/P_c$, $V^* = V/V_c$, $T^* = T/T_c$, P_c - Critical pressure, V_c - Critical volume. The reduced equation of state given by Equation 3 represents the two-parameter law of corresponding states.

Method – I

The parameter *a* is determined using critical-point, parameters by:

$$a = \frac{8 P_c^2 V_c^3}{R} \tag{4}$$

The substance-specific parameter c is calculated through the Peneloux correlation [25] by:

$$c = 0.40768 \left(0.29441 - Z_{RA} \right) \frac{RT_C}{P_C}$$
(5)

where, Z_{RA} - Rackett compressibility factor.

$$Z_{RA} = (0.29056 - 0.08775)\omega$$

where, ω - Pitzer's acentric factor.

The parameter b is determined using the critical volume and the parameter c by:

$$b_c = \frac{V_c + 3c}{3} \tag{6}$$

Method – II

The parameter a calculated by Equation 4 as in the Method – I. The parameter b is determined through the atomic radius by the relation [26] by:

$$b = \frac{16\pi}{3} R_A^3 N_A \tag{7}$$

where, N_A – Avagadro's Number, R_A – Atomic radius.

The parameter c is determined using the critical volume and the parameter b determined by Equation 7. That is;

$$c = \frac{3b - V_c}{3} \tag{8}$$

Method – III

In this method, b is considered to be temperature dependent. The parameters a and c are calculated through Equations 4 and 5 as in Method-I. The parameter b is determined using critical volume as:

$$b_c = \frac{V_c + 3c}{3} \tag{9}$$

The temperature dependence of the covolume b is given [27] by:

$$b(T) = b_c \left(\frac{T_c}{T}\right)^{\overline{3}} \tag{10}$$

3. Determination of the Equation of-State-Parameters

Using Equations 4 to 10, the parameters a, b and c of the generalized Berthelot equation of state are determined through data [28] on the vapor – liquid critical parameters for hydrogen and its isomers. The obtained values of the parameters a, b and c are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Substance	Ν	/Iethod – I		Method – II			
	$a \times 10^3$ Pa ² m ⁹ K/mol ⁴ /J	$b \times 10^5$ m ³ /mol	c×10 ⁵ m ³ /mol	a× 10 ³ Pa ² m ⁹ K/mol ⁴ /J	$b \times 10^5$ m ³ /mol	$c imes 10^5$ m ³ /mol	
Normal Hydrogen	427.8286	2.0057	-0.1343	427.8286	1.7428	-0.3972	
Orthohydrogen	442.9450	2.0197	-0.1293	442.9450	1.7428	-0.4062	
Parahydrogen	424.1882	2.0132	-0.1321	424.1882	1.7428	-0.4025	

Table 1. Equation-of-state parameters by Methods – I, and II

radie 2. Equation-or-state parameters by Method – 111											
Substance	$a \times 10^3$	$c \times 10^5$	$b(T) imes 10^5$ m ³ /mol								
	Pa² m²k/mol*/J	m ³ /mol	20K	25K	30K	35K	40K	45K	50K		
Normal hydrogen	427.8286	-0.1343	2.4821	2.3041	2.1683	2.0597	1.9700	1.8942	1.8288		
Orthohydrogen	442.9450	-0.1293	2.4902	2.3117	2.1754	2.0665	1.9765	1.9004	1.8348		
Parahydrogen	424.1882	-0.1321	2.4821	2.3042	2.1683	2.0597	1.9700	1.8942	1.8288		

Table 2 Familian of state annual track Mathed III

4. Second Virial Coefficient by the Modified Berthelot Equation of State

The compressibility factor may be expressed [29] in terms of a series in 1/V to get the virial equation of state as:

$$Z = \frac{PV}{RT} = 1 + \frac{B_2}{V} + \frac{B_3}{V^2} \dots$$
(11)

where, B_2 -second virial coefficient, B_3 – third virial coefficient and so on.

For a given substance, the virial coefficient depends only on temperature. The second virial coefficient is a measure of pair-wise intermolecular interaction. And, the third virial coefficient is a measure of intermolecular interaction between three molecules. Hence, the knowledge of the virial coefficient will enable one to determine the intermolecular potential of substances. In general, reliable data on the virial coefficient of substances are scarce.

The second virial coefficient is given [30] by:

$$B_2 = \left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \rho}\right)_T \quad at \quad \rho = 0 \tag{12}$$

where, Z – Compressibility factor, ρ - molar density.

For fluids obeying Equation 1, the compressibility factor is given by:

$$Z = \frac{1}{1 - (b - c)\rho} - \frac{a\rho}{RT^2}$$
(13)

From Equations 12 and 13, we get the second virial coefficient correlated to the equation-of-state parameters as:

$$B_2 = b - c - \frac{a}{RT^2}$$
(14)

5. Second Virial Coefficient of Hydrogen and its Isomers

The second virial coefficients of normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen, parahydrogen at various temperatures are determined by Equation 14 with the values of the parameters a, b and c presented in Tables 1 and 2. The obtained values of the second virial coefficients for normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen, parahydrogen are presented in Tables 3 to 5. For comparison, the second virial coefficient of hydrogen and its isomers has been determined by the Berthelot Equation of state [31], van der Waals Equation of state [32], Van Ness & Abbott correlation [33], Yuan Duan correlation [34], McGlashan & Potter correlation [35], McGlashan correlation [36], and Tsonopoulos correlation [37]. The results are also presented in Tables 3 to 5. The temperature dependence of the second virial coefficient of hydrogen and its isomers is depicted in Figures 1 to 3.

	$B_2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (m}^3.\text{mol}^{-1})$										
Т (К)	Equation 14			vdW	MaC	Tropo	Berth	MCD	VD	X7 X1 A	
	Method-I	Method-II	Method-III	EoS	MCG	150110	EoS	MCGP	10	VINA	
20	-10.3953	-10.7239	-10.8526	-7.5047	-18.8165	-11.8810	-0.2610	-0.1450	-7.0167	-12.3468	
25	-6.0934	-6.0929	-5.8016	-5.5753	-12.2652	-10.2494	0.6034	-0.0790	-7.4807	-10.4060	
30	-3.5829	-3.5773	-3.4196	-4.2894	-8.6717	-8.1404	1.1028	-0.0468	-7.1808	-8.2421	
35	-2.0607	-2.0604	-2.0998	-3.3709	-6.4270	-6.4699	1.3560	-0.0291	-6.7141	-6.5156	
40	-0.9919	-1.10759	-1.1144	-2.6860	-4.8981	-5.1774	1.5397	-0.0196	-6.2125	-5.2005	
45	-0.4010	-0.4010	-0.5147	-2.1463	-3.7952	-4.1750	1.6658	-0.0143	-5.7134	-4.1980	
50	0.0796	-0.0969	-0.0969	-1.7177	-2.9620	-3.9049	1.7558	-0.0093	-5.2288	-3.4174	

Table 4. Second virial coefficient of Orthohydrogen

	$B_2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (m}^3.\text{mol}^{-1})$										
T (K)	Equation 14			vdW	MaC	Tsono	Berth	MaCP	VD	X7 X1 A	
	Method-I	Method-II	Method-III	EoS	MCG	1 50110	EoS	MCGr	10	VINA	
20	-10.653	-11.1702	-10.6805	-7.6814	-18.3198	-11.8260	-0.2451	-0.9983	-6.9519	-16.7141	
25	-6.3753	-6.3748	-6.0710	-5.7153	-11.9449	-10.0249	0.6226	-0.0782	-7.3646	-10.1861	
30	-3.7706	-3.7706	-3.6064	-4.4046	-8.4451	-7.9531	1.0799	-0.0463	-7.0547	-6.3545	
35	-2.2004	-2.1998	-2.1471	-3.4683	-6.2562	-6.3115	1.3706	-0.0293	-6.5880	-8.0499	
40	-1.0948	-1.1808	-1.2192	-2.7662	-4.7658	-5.0486	1.5437	-0.0104	-6.0899	-5.0687	
45	-0.4819	-0.4818	-0.5974	-2.2200	-3.6889	-4.0654	1.6778	-0.0132	-5.5957	-4.0853	
50	0.0180	0.0179	-0.1639	-1.7831	-2.8759	-3.2856	1.7673	-0.0091	-5.1160	-3.3241	

Table 5. Second virial coefficient of Parahydrogen

	$B_2 \times 10^{-5} $ (m ³ .mol ⁻¹)										
Т (К)	Equation 14			vdW	MaC	Tsopo	Berth	M-CD	VD	X/NI A	
	Method-I	Method-II	Method-III	EoS	Meo	130110	EoS	Megi	10	VINA	
20	-10.1276	-10.6099	-10.1408	-7.4648	-18.4570	-11.9989	-0.2937	-0.1303	-7.0806	-12.3230	
25	-6.018	-6.0198	-5.7269	-5.5427	-12.0368	-10.1338	0.5877	-0.0776	-7.4751	-10.387	
30	-3.5236	-3.5236	-3.3685	-4.2614	-8.5095	-8.0300	1.0583	-0.0459	-7.1527	-8.1277	
35	-2.0196	-2.0217	-1.9731	-3.3461	-6.3021	-6.3678	1.3506	-0.0290	-6.6753	-6.4628	
40	-0.9566	-1.0435	-1.0866	-2.6597	-5.1078	-5.0903	1.5315	-0.0157	-6.1673	-5.1101	
45	-0.3742	-0.3764	-0.4932	-2.1258	-3.7118	-4.0961	1.6645	-0.0131	-5.6639	-4.1524	
50	0.0179	0.1044	-0.0799	-1.6987	-2.8912	-3.3078	1.7558	-0.0090	-5.1754	-3.3499	

where vdW Eos- van der Waals Equation of State, McG - McGlashan Correlation, Tsono - Tsonopoulus Correlation, Berth Eos- Berthelot Equation of State, McGP - McGlashan and potter Correlation, YD - Yuan Duan Correlation, VNA - Van Ness Abbott Correlation.

The equation-of-state parameters a, b, and c for normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen, and parahydrogen have been calculated using three different methods. In all three methods, the parameter a is determined through the critical pressure and critical volume of normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen, parahydrogen. This is justified by the fact that in the known Berthelot equation of state, only the repulsive term has been modified in this work. To investigate its impact on the performance of the given equation of state, the parameter b is determined by three different ways and the parameter c is determined by two different methods. The values of the parameter b for normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen and parahydrogen calculated through the critical-point parameters and through the atomic radius differ by a factor of about 3. In these two methods, the parameter b is considered to be temperature independent. In the third method, a temperature dependence of the parameter b is considered. The values of the parameter c for normal hydrogen are determined in two different ways. One through the critical-point parameters and the acentric factor and another through the critical volume and the atomic radius. The obtained values of parameter c differ by a factor of about 1.6.

Having obtained the values of the parameters a, b, and c, the second virial coefficient of normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen, and parahydrogen is calculated over a wide temperature range. As seen from Tables 3 to 5 and Figures 2 to 4, the three methods yield approximately the same value of the second virial coefficient at a certain temperature

Journal of Human, Earth, and Future

for a studied substance. As seen from Tables 3 to 5, the input data used for the determination of the covolume *b* of normal, ortho, and parahydrogen do not have a substantial impact. When compared to the McGlashan correlation, Van Ness & Abbott correlation, Tsonopoulus correlation, van der Waals equation of state, and Yuan Duan correlation, the modified Berthelot equation of state gives higher values for the second virial coefficient of normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen, and parahydrogen, and it gives smaller values when compared to the Berthelot equation of state and McGlashan & Potter correlation. This discrepancy substantially decreases in the high-temperature zone. Moreover, the modified Berthelot equation of state proposed in this work and the McGlashan correlation reveal the typical trend of temperature dependence of the second virial coefficient of substances below the Boyle temperature. Berthelot and McGlashan & Potter give the unphysical values (positive values) of the second virial coefficient for normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen, and parahydrogen at temperatures exceeding 20K.



Figure 2. Second Virial Coefficient of Normal Hydrogen



Figure 3. Second Virial Coefficient of Orthohydrogen



Figure 4. Second Virial Coefficient of Parahydrogen

6. Conclusion

A modified Berthelot equation of state with three substance-specific parameters *a*, *b*, and *c* has been employed to determine the second virial coefficient of normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen, and parahydrogen in a wide range of temperatures up to the vicinity of the vapour-liquid critical point and beyond. Three different methods have been used to calculate the equation-of-state parameters *a*, *b*, and *c* for normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen, and parahydrogen. The three methods yield approximately the same value of the second virial coefficient at a certain temperature for a studied substance. The input data used for the covolume of normal, ortho, and parahydrogen does not have a substantial impact. The modified Berthelot equation of state for the second virial coefficient of normal hydrogen, orthohydrogen and parahydrogen gives higher values compared to the McGlashan correlation, Van Ness & Abbott correlation, Tsonopoulos correlation, van der Waals Equation of state, and Yuan Duan correlation, while it gives smaller values of second virial coefficient compared to the Berthelot equation of state, McGlashan & Potter correlation. In the high-temperature region, this discrepancy greatly decreases.

7. Declarations

7.1. Author Contributions

Conceptualization, R.B.; methodology, R.B.; software, A.A.; validation, S.G.; formal analysis, R.B.; investigation, S.G.; data curation, K.J.; writing—original draft preparation, R.B.; writing—review and editing, R.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

7.2. Data Availability Statement

The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

7.3. Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

7.4. Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

7.5. Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

7.6. Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this manuscript. In addition, the ethical issues, including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, and redundancies have been completely observed by the authors.

8. References

- Momirlan, M., & Veziroglu, T. N. (2005). The properties of hydrogen as fuel tomorrow in sustainable energy system for a cleaner planet. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 30(7), 795–802. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2004.10.011.
- [2] Jain, I. P. (2009). Hydrogen the fuel for 21st century. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 34(17), 7368–7378. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.05.093.
- [3] Ball, M., & Wietschel, M. (2009). The future of hydrogen-opportunities and challenges. International journal of hydrogen energy, 34(2), 615-627. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.11.014.
- [4] Cecere, D., Giacomazzi, E., & Ingenito, A. (2014). A review on hydrogen industrial aerospace applications. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 39(20), 10731–10747. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.04.126.
- [5] Okolie, J. A., Patra, B. R., Mukherjee, A., Nanda, S., Dalai, A. K., & Kozinski, J. A. (2021). Futuristic applications of hydrogen in energy, biorefining, aerospace, pharmaceuticals and metallurgy. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 46(13), 8885– 8905. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.01.014.
- [6] Yue, M., Lambert, H., Pahon, E., Roche, R., Jemei, S., & Hissel, D. (2021). Hydrogen energy systems: A critical review of technologies, applications, trends and challenges. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 146, 111180. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2021.111180.
- [7] Abohamzeh, E., Salehi, F., Sheikholeslami, M., Abbassi, R., & Khan, F. (2021). Review of hydrogen safety during storage, transmission, and applications processes. Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 72, 104569. doi:10.1016/j.jlp.2021.104569.
- [8] Liu, W., Zuo, H., Wang, J., Xue, Q., Ren, B., & Yang, F. (2021). The production and application of hydrogen in steel industry. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 46(17), 10548–10569. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.12.123.
- [9] Bahrami, J., Gavin, P., Bliesner, R., Ha, S., Pedrow, P., Mehrizi-Sani, A., & Leachman, J. (2014). Effect of orthohydrogenparahydrogen composition on performance of a proton exchange membrane fuel cell. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 39(27), 14955–14958. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.07.014.
- [10] Valenti, G., MacChi, E., & Brioschi, S. (2012). The influence of the thermodynamic model of equilibrium-hydrogen on the simulation of its liquefaction. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 37(14), 10779–10788. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.04.050.
- [11] Yanxing, Z., Maoqiong, G., Yuan, Z., Xueqiang, D., & Jun, S. (2019). Thermodynamics analysis of hydrogen storage based on compressed gaseous hydrogen, liquid hydrogen and cryo-compressed hydrogen. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 44(31), 16833–16840. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.04.207.
- [12] Podgorny, A. N., & Pashkov, V. V. (1988). Peculiarities of thermodynamic behaviour of liquid hydrogen. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 13(4), 231–237. doi:10.1016/0360-3199(88)90090-0.
- [13] Sherwin, J. A. (2022). Scattering of slow twisted neutrons by ortho-and parahydrogen. Physics Letters A, 437, 128102. doi:10.1016/j.physleta.2022.128102.
- [14] Boeva, O., Antonov, A., & Zhavoronkova, K. (2021). Influence of the nature of IB group metals on catalytic activity in reactions of homomolecular hydrogen exchange on Cu, Ag, Au nanoparticles. Catalysis Communications, 148, 106173. doi:10.1016/j.catcom.2020.106173.
- [15] Balasubramanian, R., Gunavathi, K., Jegan, R., & Roobanguru, D. (2014). A Study on the generalization of equations of state for liquids and gases. Open Journal of Modern Physics, 2014(2), 34–40. doi:10.15764/mphy.2014.02004.
- [16] Wei, Y. S., & Sadus, R. J. (2000). Equations of state for the calculation of fluid-phase equilibria. AIChE Journal, 46(1), 169– 196. doi:10.1002/aic.690460119.
- [17] Chatterjee, N. D. (1991). Equations of State for Fluids and Fluid Mixtures. In Applied Mineralogical Thermodynamics (pp. 55–83). doi:10.1007/978-3-662-02716-5_3.
- [18] Alexandrov, I., Gerasimov, A., & Grigor'ev, B. (2013). Generalized Fundamental Equation of State for the Normal Alkanes (C 5-C50). International Journal of Thermophysics, 34(10), 1865–1905. doi:10.1007/s10765-013-1512-1.
- [19] Balasubramanian, R. (2006). Superheating of liquid alkali metals. International Journal of Thermophysics, 27(5), 1494-1500. doi:10.1007/s10765-006-0098-2.

- [20] Balasubramanian, R. (2007). Correlations of attainable superheat of fluid alkali metals. Journal of Nuclear Materials, 366(1-2), 272-276. doi:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2006.12.072.
- [21] Balasubramanian, R. (2013). A correlation of maximum attainable superheat and acentric factor of alkali metals. Thermochimica Acta, 566, 233–237. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2013.05.043.
- [22] Roy, S. C. (2001). Superheated liquid and its place in radiation physics. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 61(3–6), 271–281. doi:10.1016/S0969-806X(01)00250-X.
- [23] Sobko, A. A. (2017). Description of evaporation curve for liquid metals by the generalized Van-der-Waals-Berthelot equation. Part II. Journal of Physical Science and Application, 7(1), 29-34. doi:10.17265/2159-5348/2017.01.004.
- [24] Balasubramanian, R. (2019). Thermodynamic Limit of Superheat of Fluids by a Generalized Berthelot Equation of State. American Journal of Materials Science and Application. 7(3), 60-64.
- [25] Lundstrøm, C., Michelsen, M. L., Kontogeorgis, G. M., Pedersen, K. S., & Sørensen, H. (2006). Comparison of the SRK and CPA equations of state for physical properties of water and methanol. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 247(1-2), 149-157. doi:10.1016/j.fluid.2006.06.012.
- [26] Jugan, J., & Khadar, M. A. (2002). Acoustic non-linearity parameter B/A and related molecular properties of binary organic liquid mixtures. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 100(3), 217-227. doi:10.1016/S0167-7322(02)00043-0.
- [27] Ramasamy, B., Jaffar, K. A., & Arumugam, R. Enthalpy of Vaporization of fluid alkali metals at high temperatures. Open Science Journal of Modern Physics, 5(2), 24–31.
- [28] Khomkin, A. L., & Shumikhin, A. S. (2017). The thermodynamics and transport properties of transition metals in critical point. High Temperatures - High Pressures, arXiv preprint, 46(4–5), 367–380. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1606.09609.
- [29] Boschi-Filho, H., & Buthers, C. C. (1997). Second virial coefficient for real gases at high temperature. arXiv preprint, 1-30. doi:10.48550/arXiv.cond-mat/9701185
- [30] Sadus, R. J. (2002). The Dieterici alternative to the van der Waals approach for equations of state: Second virial coefficients. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 4(6), 919–921. doi:10.1039/b108822j.
- [31] Sobko, A. A. (2008). Generalized van der Waals-Berthelot equation of state. Doklady Physics, 53(8), 416–419. doi:10.1134/S1028335808080028.
- [32] Sobko, A. A. (2014). Description of evaporation curve by the generalized Van-der-Waals-Berthelot equation. Part I. Journal of Physical Science and Application, 4(8), 524-530. doi:10.17265/2159-5348/2014.08.008.
- [33] Yousefi, F., & Amoozandeh, Z. (2016). Statistical mechanics and artificial intelligence to model the thermodynamic properties of pure and mixture of ionic liquids. Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering, 24(12), 1761-1771. doi:10.1016/j.cjche.2016.05.003.
- [34] Meng, L., & Duan, Y. Y. (2005). Prediction of the second cross virial coefficients of nonpolar binary mixtures. Fluid phase equilibria, 238(2), 229-238. doi:10.1016/j.fluid.2005.10.007.
- [35] Barbarín-Castillo, J. M., Soto-Regalado, E., & Mclure, I. A. (2000). A test of the McGlashan and Potter correlation for second virial coefficients of mixtures containing a tetraethyl substance. Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics, 32(4), 567–569. doi:10.1006/jcht.1999.0619.
- [36] Sivakumar, M., & Balasubramanian, R. (2020). Determination of second virial coefficient of gold by a modified Berthelot equation of state. Journal of Human, Earth, and Future, 1(4), 175-180. doi:10.28991/HEF-2020-01-04-02.
- [37] Poling, B. E., Prausnitz, J. M., & O'connell, J. P. (2001). Properties of gases and liquids. McGraw-Hill Education, New York, United States.